



UNITED STATES PATERY AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,346	10/23/2001	Prathima Agrawal	1459-US	1318
. 7:	590 07/08/2002			
Orville R. Cockings, Esq.			EXAMINER	
Telcordia Technologies, Inc. 445 South Street			MEHRPOUR, NAGHMEH	
Morristown, NJ 07960			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2685	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
,	

TX

· Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/453,346 Applicant(s)

Prathima Agrawal et al.

Examiner

Naghmeh Mehrpour

Art Unit 2685

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM	1
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
reasuring date of this contribution. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	-
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration	۱.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ļ
6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.	ļ
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ļ
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement	it.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved by the Example of the proposed drawing correction filed on	ner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some* c) None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•
3) 💢 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	

Application/Control Number: 1/045,326

Art Unit:

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed reference listed in the information Disclosure submitted on 10/23/01 have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-21, are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13, of U.S. Patent No. 6208,864 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

Application/Control Number: 1/045,326

Art Unit:

simply removing features from the claims, such as a call initiator does not render the claims patentably distinct from patent claims 1-13.

Conclusion

4. Any responses to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications indented for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-6306, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II. 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. Va., sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Melody Mehrpour whose telephone number is (703) 308-7159. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday (first week of bi-week) and Monday through Friday (second week of bi-week) from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempt to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached (703)305-4385.

Application/Control Number: 1/045,326

Page 4

Art Unit:

NM

July 1, 2002

EDWARD F. URBAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600